



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/678,328	10/03/2000	Yasuo Takane	0905-0247P-SP	4817
7590 05/26/2006			EXAMINER	
BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP P.O.Box 747			AGGARWAL, YOGESH K	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Falls Church, VA 22040-0747			L	FAFER NUMBER
			2622	
		DATE MAILED: 05/26/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	09/678,328	TAKANE, YASUO		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Yogesh K. Aggarwal	2622		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>31 Ja</u> 2a)□ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2-9,11 and 12 is/are versions. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,10,13,14 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	withdrawn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		(PTO-413) ate ratent Application (PTO-152)		
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🔲 Other:			

Application/Control Number: 09/678,328 Page 2

Art Unit: 2622

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 10, 13 and 14 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 10, 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sasaki et al. (US Patent # 5,034,804) in view of Iwasaki (US Patent # 5,987,265).

 [Claim 1]

Sasaki et al. teaches a digital camera (figure 6a and 6b) comprising a photometry device (19) for performing photometry to output photometry values (col. 4 lines 26-34, col. 6 lines 19-44);

an imaging device (26) for imaging a subject, to output image data representing an image of the subject (col. 4 lines 14-25).

an exposure control device for controlling an amount of exposure in said imaging device on the basis of the photometry values outputted by said photometry device (col. 4 lines 26-34 teach that upon half press of the shutter, the diaphragm 22 is controlled by the control circuit 24 according to the measured amount of the incident light by the exposure sensor 19 and col. 4 lines 38-57 teach when the shutter is fully pressed an image is captured. It would be obvious that the image is taken according to the value of the diaphragm set during the half press of the shutter);

an image file create device for creating an image file containing the image data outputted from said imaging device and data representing the photometry values, the image file create device creating the image file for each of imaging by said imaging device; and a recording control device for recording the image file created by said image file create device on a recording medium (e.g. figures 9e and 10, col. 8 lines 42-55, col. 9 lines 1-35 teach a file being created on a memory card 15 representing image data and exposure values). [The exposure value and the image data shown in block number 11H in figure 9E in the same recording device and portion is being read as an image file. A file is defined as a collection of data stored and dealt with as a single, named unit. Sasaki teaches block 11H showing exposure values and image data stored within a single unit].

Sasaki teaches an exposure sensor 19 to measure the amount of incident light but fails to teach if this photometry device is used for performing photometry for each of the sections obtained by dividing an imaging area into a plurality of sections to output photometry values. However Iwasaki teaches a camera (figure 2) having a photometry sensor 9 for performing photometry for each of the sections obtained by dividing an imaging area into a plurality of sections to output photometry values (col. 5 lines 10-35, figures 2 and 3).

Therefore taking the combined teachings of Sasaki and Iwasaki, it would be obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to have been motivated to have used a photometry device for performing photometry for each of the sections obtained by dividing an imaging area into a plurality of sections to output photometry values as taught in Iwasaki into the system of Sasaki in order to improve the performance of scene discrimination and proper exposure operation as taught in Iwasaki (col. 2 lines 40-45).

[Claim 13]

Sasaki teaches that the amount of incident light is measured by exposure sensor 19, and control circuit 24 controls diaphragm 22 according to the measured amount of incident light (col. 4 lines 31-34). It is very well known in the art that the diaphragm is used to control exposure and therefore reads on wherein the output values of the photometry device are directly used to determine an amount of exposure.

information

[Claims 10 and 14]

These are method claims corresponding to apparatus claims 1 and 13 and are therefore analyzed and rejected based upon apparatus claims 10 and 13 respectively.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yogesh K. Aggarwal whose telephone number is (571) 272-7360. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00AM-5:30PM.

4. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Ometz can be reached on (571)-272-7593. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/678,328

Art Unit: 2622

Page 5

5. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

YKA

May 21, 2006

DAVID OMETZ SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER